



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,078	11/26/2004	Roland Busses	BIG01 P498	5861
277	7590	12/14/2007	EXAMINER	
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E. P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			MICHENER, JOSHUA J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/500,078

Applicant(s)

BUSSES, ROLAND

Examiner

Joshua J. Michener

Art Unit

3644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joshua J. Michener.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Marcus Dolce.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 December 2007.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: N/a.


Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereon AAPA); Swartzendruber.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
TERI PHAM LUU  
SUPERVISORY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative brought to the Examiner's attention that the Examiner misconstrued the Applicant's disclosure under "background of invention" wherein the Examiner's rejection equated AAPA to be the equivalent of Swartzendruber, which was clearly addressed in the office action. Consequently, the portion of AAPA that the Examiner relied upon was vague and did not specifically point out that AAPA was Swartzendruber. However, the Examiner explained that the rejection utilizing Swartzendruber as the equivalent in fact meet the claim limitations and that the grounds of rejection would still remain the same. To clarify the record, Applicant's representative asked for a supplemental action with the appropriate corrections .